IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

RUTH SMITH, Individually and as Widow for the)
Use and Benefit of Herself and the Next Kin of)
Richard Smith, Deceased,	
Plaintiff,) Civil No. 3:05-0444) Judge Aleta A. Trauger
v.) (Dist. Of MA No.) 1:05-cv-11515PBS)
PFIZER, INC., et al.,)
Defendants.)

DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Defendants, Pfizer Inc ("Pfizer") and Warner-Lambert Company LLC ("Warner-Lambert") (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby propose that the verdict form attached hereto be given to the jury following the trial of this matter. Defendants submit this proposed verdict form without waiver of their right to contend at trial that certain questions should not be asked because the evidence admitted does not warrant submitting some or all of the issues to the jury and without waiver of their right to request additional or modified questions in light of the record developed at trial, rulings by this Court, or other subsequent developments.

Dated: May 13, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

By: /s/ Mark S. Cheffo

Mark S. Cheffo

Four Times Square New York, NY 10036 Tel: (212) 735-3000

-and-

NEAL & HARWELL, PLC

By: /s/ Gerald D. Neenan Aubrey B. Harwell, Jr., No. 002559 W. David Bridgers, No. 016603 Gerald D. Neenan, No. 006710

2000 One Nashville Place 150 Fourth Avenue, North Nashville, TN 37219 (615) 244-1713 (615) 726-0573 (fax)

Attorneys for Defendants Pfizer Inc and Warner-Lambert Company LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this the 13th day of May 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee, using the CM/ECF system. True and correct copies of the foregoing documents are being served via the Court's CM/ECF system on the following:

Andrew G. Finkelstein, Esq. Kenneth B. Fromson, Esq. Finkelstein & Partners, LLP 1279 Route 300 Newburg, NY 12550

Charles F. Barrett, Esq. Barrett & Associates, P.A. 6718 Highway 100, Suite 210 Nashville, TN 37205

Dara G. Hegar, Esq. Ken S. Soh, Esq. Maura Kolb, Esq. Robert Leone, Esq. W. Mark Lanier, Esq. Lanier Law Firm 6810 FM 1960 West Houston, TX 77069

/s/ Gerald D. Neenan

Liability

1.	Based upon the scientific and technological knowledge available to Defendants in Marc 2004, did Defendants provide adequate warnings to prescribing physicians regarding the risks of Neurontin?
	Yes No
	If you answered "yes," do not answer the remaining questions. If you answered "no, proceed to Question 2.
2.	Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the lack of adequat warnings rendered Neurontin defective or unreasonably dangerous?
	Yes No
	If you answered "yes," proceed to Question 3. If you answered "no," do not answer th remaining questions.
3.	Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the failure to provid adequate warnings was the result of negligence?
	Yes No
	If you answered "yes," proceed to Question 4. If you answered "no," proceed to Questio
4.	Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the lack of adequat warnings was the cause-in-fact of Richard Smith's death?
	Yes No
	If you answered "yes," proceed to Question 5. If you answered "no," do not answer th remaining questions.
5.	Has Plaintiff proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the lack of adequat warnings was the legal cause of Richard Smith's death?
	Yes No
	If you answered "yes," proceed to Question 6. If you answered "no," do not answer th remaining questions.

Compe	ensatory Damages
6.	What amount of money, if any, do you find should be awarded to the Plaintiff for any conscious pain and suffering experienced by Richard Smith between injury and death?
	Amount in dollars
	Proceed to Question No. 7.
7.	What amount of money, if any, do you find should be awarded to the Plaintiff for burial expenses?
	Amount in dollars
	Proceed to Question No. 8.
8.	What amount of money, if any, do you find should be awarded to the Plaintiff for present cash value of the pecuniary value of the life of the deceased?
	Amount in dollars
	Proceed to Question 9.
<u>Punitiv</u>	ve Damages
9.	Do you find, by clear and convincing evidence, that Defendants act intentionally, recklessly, maliciously, or fraudulently so as to justify an award of punitive damages?
	Yes No

If you answered "yes," proceed to Question 10. If you answered "no," do not answer the